

PUSH Annual Performance report – 2009/2010 (June Update)

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
<b>Economic development</b>									
1. Raise the skills and improve the employment opportunities	Total Employment	✓	414,400	424600	N/A	411,862 (estimate)	The SE and UK figures are 3,658,000 and 26,459,000 respectively.	Green	The latest figure is based on Experian's estimate for 2009, from their Autumn 2009 forecasts. All local authorities in the PUSH area have had their employment forecasts revised since the Spring 2009 forecasts were prepared. The overall estimates show a reduction of 3%.
	Percentage of working age economically active		81%	79.3%	N/A	82.8% (June 09)	The South East figure is 82.4% and the national figure of 78.9%	Green	These figures are based on the ONS data from June 09. Whilst 4 of the 6 areas that constitute the proxy for PUSH exceed the national figures, it is Portsmouth and Southampton with figures of 77.6% and 77.2% respectively impacting upon the overall figures.
	Employment Rate	✓	79.8%	76.6%	77.9%	77.7%	The South East Regional figure is 78.1% and the UK 73.3%. There are variances within PUSH ranging from Gosport 83.8% to Portsmouth 71.7%	Amber	There has been a slight decrease in employment rate figures but this has been experienced both regionally and nationally. However, as a result, there has been a narrowing of the gap between PUSH and the South East data – down from 0.5 to 0.4 percentage points difference. Gosport and Portsmouth remain at the extremes of PUSH performance, however, Havant previously shared Portsmouth's employment rate but has since seen it increase to 78.1%

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Residents claiming out of work benefits	✓	56,700	68,046	73,894	74,297	The PUSH claimant rate is 13.5% compared with South East regional figure of 11.5% and a UK figure of 15.9%	Red	The slight increases in claimant rates are commensurate with increases at both regional and national levels. They demonstrate that whilst benefit take up rates are high due to current economic conditions, this is somewhat mitigated by the healthy volumes of off flows into work. Variances in PUSH range from 8.6% in Fareham to 15.7% in Havant. The Jobseekers Allowance data from Feb 10 shows that in the 6 period up to that point, most areas had experienced an increase in JSA claimants. However, there are significant variances in this data ranging from an increase in Havant of 1%, Gosport 4.8% and in Southampton a decrease of 1%.
	Percentage of working age population with L2 or above	✓	79%	69.7% (2007 data)	71.5% (2008 data)	71.5% (2008 data)	SE: 73.1% Nat: 69.4% (2008 data)	Amber	The latest results were published in August 2009 and relate to the calendar year 2008. Sound progress continues to be made towards achieving the target based on data for the first two years of the 5 year Plan period. The PUSH percentage has risen above the national average and the gap between PUSH and the South East Region has narrowed. The 2008 results reflect the increased prioritisation of Level 2. To attain the 2011 target of 79% an annual average increase of 2.5 percentage points will be required over the next three years compared with the 1.6 percentage point increase achieved between 2007 and 2008; the continuing prioritisation of level 2 should be reflected in future year results. The achieved increase is only marginally below the target trajectory and it is considered that status be Green.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Percentage of working age population with L3 or above	✓	56%	48.7% (2007 data)	49.4% (2008 data)	49.4% (2008 data)	SE: 53.7% Nat: 49.5% (2008 data)	Amber	The latest results were published in August 2009 and relate to the calendar year 2008. Some progress has been made towards achieving the target based on the first two years of the plan period. The PUSH percentage is now only marginally below the national average but, to achieve the target, an annual average increase of 2.2 percentage points will be required over the next three years compared with a percentage point increase of only 0.7 percentage points between 2007 and 2008. The continuing prioritisation of level 3 should be reflected in future year results; in the meantime it is considered that status should remain at Amber.
	Percentage of working age population with L4 or above		30%	27.1%	N/A	30.1% (2008 data)	SE: 31.5% Nat: 28.7% (2008 data)	Green	The results were retrieved from NOMIS and is related to the calendar year 2008. PUSH appears to have achieved its target exceeded by 1%. However, PUSH is slightly below the regional level by 1.4% but above the national percentage by 1.4%. Overall PUSH has improved its position since March 2009 and has exceeded its target for 2011 and is performing above the national level. The data used was a proxy of the PUSH area as no collated data was accessible.
2. Promote Innovation	Gross VAT registrations per 1000 inhabitants		2.7	N/A	N/A	4.2 (2008 data)	SE: 4.86 Nat: 4.64 (2008 data)	Green	The method previously used by ONS to collect commerce data has changed. Data now includes PAYE registered units and therefore provides a more comprehensive view of entrepreneurial activity. It is for this reason that PUSH figures are over the 2011 target by 1.5. The data used was a proxy of the PUSH area as no collated data was accessible.
	VAT stock per 1000 inhabitants		29	N/A	N/A	41.8 (2008 data)	SE: 47.6 Nat: 41.9 (2008 data)	Green	The figures are based on data from ONS from 2008. The PUSH area is marginally below both the region and national results, but overall it is exceeding its target for 2011 by 12.8 per 1000 inhabitants. The data used was a proxy of the PUSH area as no collated data was accessible.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Percentage of businesses surviving 12 months		93%	N/A	N/A	96% (2008 data)	SE: 96.3% Nat: 95.5% (2008 data)	Green	The results were collected from ONS Business Demography 2008. When compared to the South East, PUSH is below by 0.3 %. However, PUSH exceeds its 2011 performance target by 3% and by 0.5% at the national level and is therefore performing well. The data used was a proxy of the PUSH area as no collated data was accessible.
	Percentage of businesses surviving 36 months		72%	N/A	N/A	65.4% (2008 data)	SE: 67.4% Nat: 64.6% (2008 data)	Amber	The results were collected from ONS Business Demography 2008. PUSH is relatively behind the target set for 2011 by 6.6% compared to the regional and national data. SEEDA's snapshot survey for Winter/Spring 2010 reported that overall performance of businesses has been good in the aftermath of the recession. 77% of businesses were stated either stable or improving conditions in the region. As PUSH wasn't far behind in comparison with the region, we can imply that PUSH is performing well after the recession. The data used was a proxy of the PUSH area as no collated data was accessible.
	Hybrid Market Penetration	✓	11,100	13,044	13,160	End of March 2010 - 13,855	N/A	Green	There continues to be a high demand for the Business Link service as a direct consequence of the ongoing economic situation. This demand has resulted in customers coming directly to Business Link rather than the proactive need to go out and find them. This continues to provide higher than anticipated penetration levels.
3. Increased Business Support	Business Supported with intensive assistance by Business Link	✓	1,680	1,650	1,733	End of March 2010 - 1,715	Not available	Green	Demand for the Business Link service continues to be strong resulting in high IA levels with customers seeking guidance on their challenges and opportunities.  <b>In addition, Solent IGT have worked with 80 high growth companies in providing intensive support.</b>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Annual Total GVA change per annum in businesses supported (£)	✓	✓	£178m	£59.8m	£62.1m	N/A	Amber	Not currently able to report due to a new CRM system being implemented across the South East and the full reporting functionality isn't yet available. As soon as we have access to the new reporting tools we'll be able to send the information on.
	GVA per resident (£)	✓	41,100	18,300	N/A	17,700	The SE and UK figures are 19,300 and 18,300 respectively.	Red	The latest figure is derived from Experian's Autumn 2009 forecasts for 2009. It seems highly unlikely that the challenging target for 2011 will be met, given that GVA growth is expected to be sluggish – between 1% and 2% - in the PUSH area (as in the rest of the South East) in 2010.
4. Over the period 2006-26, raise Gross Value Added (GVA) from 2.75% per annum to 3.5%	Percentage GVA growth rate		2.75%	-1.16%	N/A	-4.4%	The SE and UK figures are -3.7% and -4.6% respectively.	Red	The latest figure is derived from Experian's Autumn 2009 forecasts for 2009. Although the recession has had a severe impact on GVA growth rates, Experian forecasts suggest that GVA will return to growth in 2010 in the PUSH area, though at a modest rate of 1-2%. Experian do not expect GVA growth rates to return to pre-recession levels in the PUSH area until 2012.
	GVA per worker (£)		N/A	42,919	N/A	41,298	The SE and UK figures are 44,737 and 42,824 respectively.	Amber	The latest figure is derived from Experian's Autumn 2009 forecasts for 2009. The recession has led to a reduction in productivity, as GVA has declined. However, as GVA is expected to return to growth in 2010, earlier and faster than employment growth, it seems likely that GVA per worker will increase again.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
5. Increase inward investment from both domestic and international companies	Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)		N/A	2008/09 11 Direct investments were made resulting in 157 additional jobs and 1322 jobs safeguarded	N/A	2009/10 7 Direct investments recorded for the following towns: Fareham, Portsmouth, Southampton, Winchester, resulting in 157 additional jobs over the next 3 years.	<p>There have been 64 SEEDA assisted investments in total for SE area, this is broken down as;</p> <p>Berkshire (16) Buckinghamshire (10) East Sussex (4) Hampshire -11 (7 in PUSH area) Kent (9) Oxfordshire (6) Surrey (8)</p> <p>In total these have safeguarded 6571 jobs and are predicted to create 820 over the next three years.</p>	Amber	<p>The greatest number of investments were made in Berkshire, where the Thames Valley is located and is widely recognised as a key location of inward investment in the UK, particularly the city of Reading. In comparison to the other regions, PUSH has fared reasonably well, but there is the need for greater proactive work to attract increased inward investment during 2010-2011.</p> <p>N.B The investments mentioned are SEEDA assisted investments only. SEEDA are still verifying figures so the numbers could change. Finalised data, including non-SEEDA assisted investments should be available in June/July 2010.</p>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
6. Close the gap in economic performance between areas	Difference in the % claiming out of work benefits (MOWB) between top 6 wards and bottom 6 wards in the sub-region		No widening of the gap due to impact of recession	<p><b>Top wards:</b></p> <p>Hiltingbury West 4.7% (Eastleigh)            Sarisbury 5.2% (Fareham)            Anglesea 7.1% (Gosport)            Emsworth 9.1% (Havant)            Drayton and Farlington 7.9% (Portsmouth)            Bassett 8.1% (Southampton)</p> <p><b>Bottom wards:</b></p> <p>Eastleigh South 16.6% (Eastleigh)            Fareham South 15.9% (Fareham)            Gosport town 25.1% (Gosport)            Warren Park 29.7% (Havant)            Charles Dickens 29.5% (Portsmouth)            Bitterne 24.8% (Southampton)</p>		<p><b>Top Wards:</b></p> <p>Hiltingbury West 3.7% (Eastleigh)            Warsash 3.8% (Fareham)            Hedge End Grange Park 3.5% (Eastleigh)            Sarisbury 4% (Fareham)            Hiltingbury East 4.4% (Eastleigh)            Locks Heath 4.5% (Fareham)            Fair Oak &amp; Horton Heath 4.5% (Eastleigh)            Hillhead 4.5% (Fareham)</p> <p><b>Bottom Wards:</b></p> <p>Town 20.8% (Gosport)            Bondfields 22.1% (Havant)            Battins 22.4% (Havant)            Bitterne 22.5% (Southampton)            Warren Park 24.2% (Havant)            Charles Dickens 25.7% (Portsmouth)</p>	<p><b>National</b></p> <p>There are 52 percentage points variance between the lowest and highest wards            For example            Heslington 0.6% (York)            And Rhyl West 52.9% (Denbighshire)</p> <p><b>Regional</b></p> <p>There are 40 percentage points variance between the lowest and highest in the South East Region</p> <p>Holywell 0.6% (Oxford) and Margate Central 40.6% (Thanet)</p>	Amber	<p>Without exception all these wards have experienced a decrease in volume of residents claiming out of work benefits. What is interesting to note is the changes of areas that make up both the top segment and the bottom segment. Areas to the West of the south Hampshire region have prospered better than those to the East, with Eastleigh and Fareham dominating the highest positions.</p> <p>Conversely Eastleigh no longer features amongst the bottom wards with 5 of the 6 lowest wards remaining to the east of the sub region. Southampton and its environs have been able to demonstrate signs of early recovery compared with Portsmouth and its environs. This reinforces the position at point 1 above which shows Portsmouth Employment rate as the lowest in PUSH. Whilst this reinforces the data expressed at point 1 above, there are two points to note;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. These wards have decreased their dependency on out of work benefits but there are clearly other wards not appearing in these rankings where increases have been experienced. Havant is a good example of this. Warren Park Ward has decreased its benefit dependency rate by 5.5 percentage points in the 6 month period. Whilst this is a positive step, Havant now has 2 more wards featuring in the bottom 6 who were previously not listed. So improvements are not being universally experienced.</li> <li>2. We should however recognise that there has been a sub regional narrowing of the gap between our highest and lowest performing wards. 6 months ago the variance was 25 percentage points. From the latest data set, the variance is 22 percentage points. In contrast to both the regional and national figures, the gap to address in the South Hampshire sub region is considerably smaller.</li> </ol>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes	
Transport										
7.	Traffic growth on the motorway network	Annual Average Weekday Traffic flow AAWT (M27 J5-7)	✓	147,000 AAWT	(Data is approx to nearest 1000)	2006: 133, 000 2007: 134, 000 2008: 131, 000 2009: 132, 000	Comparing the whole of 2009 with 2008, traffic nationally is provisionally estimated to have decreased by 1.3 per cent. Traffic on motorways was unchanged.	Green	<p>Rather than collating traffic data for specific months, which are open to seasonal variations, this data comprises annual average weekday traffic flows. This has the effect of smoothing out seasonal variations, giving a more accurate comparison of changes year on year and continues the methodology established when providing figures previously.</p> <p><b>Further TfSH Performance Indicators:</b> Work is being undertaken by TfSH to identify and synchronise the traffic and transport data that is currently recorded by the various partnership authorities across the sub region.</p> <p>TfSH will develop this co-ordinated approach to data to reflect usage of the local highway network and public transport modes, in order to provide meaningful indicators and targets in the future.</p>	
Sustainability and Community Infrastructure										
8.	Mitigate the potential effects of climate change	CO2 emission reductions in South Hampshire. 1990 baseline figure is 6MtCO2 2006 figure is 6.6MtCO2		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Amber	<p>2 year time lag on the data. Measures may need to be revised in the light of further Arup Report, and joint work with HCC on Climate Change Strategy to be completed by December 2010. <i>(no updates available at present)</i></p>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
9. Reduced abstraction of water across South Hampshire	<p>This is a qualitative measure that will be based on an observation of reported abstraction for different categories of use.</p> <p>Baseline abstraction is 193515 million litres (average per year 2003 – 2007).</p>		<p>No increasing trend in abstraction by 2015</p> <p>Establish reducing trend by 2026 (NB reduction target to be agreed by 2015)</p>			<p>Baseline abstraction is now 193, 515 million litres (average per year 2003 – 2007).</p>	N/A	Amber	<p>This target will be reported annually and progress reviewed every 5 years (next 2014).</p> <p>Measurement of abstraction will be based on two sets of data:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the yearly total abstraction returns from all of the abstraction points within the sub-regional boundary (as reported to the Environment Agency)</li> <li>annual abstraction returns for public water supplies reported at the water resource zone level (as reported to Ofwat in water companies' June Returns – this data is also held by the Environment Agency)</li> </ol> <p>Because abstraction is complex a number of factors must be taken into consideration when interpreting this data and identifying trends in abstraction. The approach taken is described in a paper entitled 'Abstraction in the PUSH area' that will be held by the Environment Agency to allow consistent reporting.</p> <p>Reporting will be split into total public water supply (water companies) – reported for direct abstraction in the PUSH area and at the water resource zone level - and total direct abstraction, including trends for the main categories of use to illustrate what is driving changes in total abstraction. .</p> <p><i>Note: In April 2008 the way water resources is monitored has changed. These changes will affect the abstraction figures and will be factored in to future reporting cycles.</i></p>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
10. a) Reduced household water consumption on a per capita basis	Current average consumption is 165 litres per head per day (l/h/d)		Average 130 l/h/d by 2026		Current average consumption is 165 lpppd	Current average consumption is 165 litres per head per day (l/h/d)  National Average 156 litres per person per day 08-09  Regional Average 160 per person per day	N/A	Amber	The data is split across 2 water companies (Southern Water and Portsmouth Water) The supply and abstraction zones do not exactly match the South Hampshire Boundaries and data will be based on the closest geographical fit.  This target will be reported annually and progress reviewed every 5 years (next in 2014).  The data is derived from the weighted average of Portsmouth Water's total supply area and Southern Water's Hampshire South Zone. The weighting will be by population (formula to be supplied by ONS)
	Number of properties built to 105 l/h/d (equivalent to Code for Sustainable Homes level 3)		100% new permissions built to 105 l/h/d in line with CfSH level 3		N/A	National Average 156 litres per person per day 08-09  Regional Average 160 per person per day	N/A	Amber	Building water efficient homes is an essential part of the water resources strategy to achieve strategic outcome 10. Therefore a measure for new homes built to 105 l/h/d (Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3) is necessary. Work is currently underway to establish monitoring arrangements.
	LDFs approved with the sustainability policy secured		target 100% of all core strategies		N/A	2 out of 10 LDF's adopted	N/A	Amber	This new measure was agreed at a SCIDPAN meeting. So far, 2 out of 10 PUSH authorities have adopted a core strategy which includes the PUSH Sustainability Policy Framework.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
11. Green Infrastructure that is accessible to users and improves biodiversity	Creation of new or improved Green Infrastructure		To be set by Delivery Panel	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Amber	No progress in setting new measures pending approval of Green Infrastructure Strategy now anticipated June 2010
12. Increased use of renewable energy and low carbon energy / CHP	Amount of renewable and low carbon energy usage in the sub regional supply.		100MW by 2026	Current level of generation is 5.06 MW		No update available	N/A	Amber	This data is supplied by Scottish and Southern Energy and relates to renewable generation only and does not include other forms of low carbon energy generation such as Utilicom at Southampton and Eastleigh and other CHP installations  <i>No updates available at present</i>
13. To ensure that new development is located and designed to prevent the risk of flooding and coastal erosion and reduce overall flood risk	A reduction in flood risk to properties across South Hampshire starting from the baseline figure (% change over time)  Figures from the National Flood Risk Assessment (NaFRA) database show a reduction in properties at risk by 2026 from 2008 baseline.		No increase in properties at risk of flooding (ie no more than 47562)  Indicative target not yet agreed			Total properties at risk in the sub-region: (est.) <b><u>47562</u></b>  <b>Low Risk: <u>12498</u></b>  Moderate Risk: <b><u>29066</u></b>  Significant Risk: <b><u>5998</u></b>	900,000 properties in the South East are at risk of one or more forms of flooding	Amber	National Flood Risk Assessment (NaFRA) is a national assessment of flood risk across the whole of England and Wales. It determines the likelihood of flooding from rivers and the sea and the expected economic damages that flooding could cause to property and other assets in the floodplain.  It can provide PUSH with an indication of whether flood risk is being reduced overall for example if a flood defence project is completed it will show a reduced risk next time NaFRA is run  Limitations: Time delay in NaFRA data model may change and so not offer a true 'like for like' comparison.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
14. A community that is resilient to the impact of climate change	To secure approval for a comprehensive Climate Change Strategy across the Hampshire Area		By Spring 2010				N/A	Amber	The programme for completion of the strategy has now been extended to December 2010. Substantial work is underway on a collaborative basis with HCC leading on the work in partnership with other PUSH partners and relevant partner organisations. A workshop was held in Winchester in March 2010 to review ongoing work and focus on adaptation measures. A Climate Change Summit Conference is planned for December 2010 to launch the strategy.
Further measures are being developed including: The reduction in the disposal of waste to landfill in South Hampshire and increase the rate of recycling of waste material, and Place Survey outcomes for each Local Authority Area and regular survey of business opinion on environmental quality.									
<b>Housing and Planning</b>									
15. A balanced housing supply to support economic growth	Total housing completions compared to South East Plan targets	✓	4,000 per annum	N/A	3,986 net completions in 2008/9	N/A	SE Region 99% (100% in PUSH area) Over the period 2006-2009 housing completions in the SE Region were 104% of the South East Plan target (103% of target in PUSH area for the same period)	amber	Although housing completions are meeting the targets to 2008/09, there is considerable concern about delivery in 2009/10 and the following years. Although the figures are not yet available, preliminary and anecdotal data suggests that it is likely that there will be a significant shortfall in 2009/10 and that it may take several years before completions are back up to 4,000 per annum. The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Percentage of new homes which are affordable		30%		31% in 2008/9	N/A	SE Region - 29% of housing completions were for affordable housing, (31% in PUSH area)	Amber	<p>In 2008/09 PUSH met the target for affordable housing completions for the first time and performed slightly better than the SE Region. However, affordable housing completions are likely to be much lower in the future for a variety of reasons connected to the low levels of delivery of market housing and the funding available for affordable housing</p> <p>The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.</p>
	Balanced housing supply		1 bed 24% 2 bed 29% 3 bed 33% 4 bed 11%		2008/9 1 bed 33% 2 bed 48% 3 bed 13% 4 bed 5%	N/A	Nationally 53% of housing built in 2008/09 were 1 and 2 bedroom flats. (81% in PUSH area) - South East England Partnership Board annual monitoring report did not include this data so comparison data for the SE is not published.	Red	<p>Based on the 2008/09 performance data, PUSH continues to face the challenge of reducing the number of 1 and 2 bedroom flats being built. In 2008/09 PUSH was 81% compared to the national average of 53%.</p> <p>The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.</p>

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
16. Land supply	Employment floorspace completions		98,000m2 pa	N/A	109,543m2 net in 2008/9	Not available	N/A	Amber	Target exceeded last year due to completions at Drapers, North Baddesley and Carnival Offices, Southampton which accounted for half of the floor space. However net completions 2006/7 -2008/9 are only 86% of target  The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.
	Amount of employment land developed for other purposes		0	N/A	15,748 in 2008/9	Not available	N/A	Amber	Amount of employment land developed for other purposes decreasing, (possibly partly due to recession)  The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.
	Number of LDF Core Strategies which have been adopted		All 10	N/A	one adopted in Oct 09	<u>One adopted in Jan 10</u>	N/A	Red	New Forest District Core Strategy adopted in Oct 09. Southampton Core Strategy adopted in Jan 2010 . Eastleigh and Portsmouth not due to be adopted until 2012. Others currently due to be adopted by end 2011. Cannot meet target. Can achieve 80% of target if no further slippage in Core Strategy timetables.
17. Renewal of major estates and stock condition	Number of dwellings to be delivered from estates renewal schemes PUSH funding is supporting		202	0	0	N/A	N/A	Amber	Target site is Rowner, Gosport. Detailed planning granted for 1st phase (237 dwellings gross) and work has started on site.  The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Percentage of new homes which are on Brownfield sites		62%	0	2008/9 89%	Not available	SE Region 81% of gross dwelling completions were on brownfield sites	Green	91% on Brownfield sites 2006/7- 2008/9 (net figures- gross figures slightly higher) The next available performance data for 2009/10 will be available in the Autumn of 2010. This data will be reported on at the next PUSH performance reporting cycle.
<b>Quality Places</b>									
18.	General perception of quality of place		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	80.7%		N/A	Amber	New Data not available until publication of the next Places Survey, CLG sets date for next survey between Sept-Dec 2010.
19.	Ni 8 – Adult participation in sport %		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	22%	Active People Oct 09 for Ni 8,9,10,11) 22.2%	National: 21.6%	Amber	The South Hampshire participation rate has increased slightly (22%-22.2% April 09-Oct 09) but this increase is not statistically significant. Nationally Participation has also increased slightly 21.3% -21.6%. the level of Participation in South Hampshire is higher than national participation and appears to be growing in line with the national trend.
	Ni 9 – Use of public libraries %		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	50.6%	49.2%	SE: 46.7%	Amber	Use of Libraries in South Hampshire has fallen 50.6%-49.2% April 09-Oct 09, but by less than the South East trend 48.6% to 46.7%, use of Libraries in South Hampshire also remains above the South East regional figure. Significant growth in Use of Libraries has occurred in Gosport Winchester and Southampton possibly as a result of facility improvements.
	Ni 10 – Visits to museums and galleries %		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	53.6%	53.6%	SE: 54.5%	Amber	Use of Museums in South Hampshire has remained constant, while regional use of museums has fallen from 55.9% to 54.5% this fall is not however statistically significant. Use of Museums in South Hampshire is slightly below the regional average.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Ni 11 – engagement in the arts %		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	49%	47.8%	SE 49.2%.	Amber	Engagement in the Arts in South Hampshire has fallen from 49.2 to 47.8 this change however is not statistically significant. The fall in participation in South Hampshire is however in line with the regional fall in participation from 50.4%-49.2%.  Engagement in the arts in South Hampshire however remains slightly below the regional average.
20. The creative and cultural economy	Number of people employed in the creative economy		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	25,103	N/A	N/A	Amber	New Data available in May 2010 with Publication of Expedia Report.
	Visitor spend		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	£1,309,714,017	N/A	N/A	Amber	No new data available. Next set of Data available August –Oct 2010
21. The historic and built environment	Proportion of new housing schemes achieving a building for life score of 14/20 or Over		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Amber	Data expected later in the year and will be reported as part of the next performance Cycle.
	Percentage of Green / Open Space managed to green Flag Awards Standards		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Amber	Confirmation needed from Social and Environmental Infer structure panel that they are happy for this indicator to be used and process for data collection.

Strategic Outcomes	Measures	MAA	Target 2011	Data as per JC Mar 09	Data as per JC Nov 09	Data as per JC June 10	National / Regional comparison	Status	Notes
	Number of Buildings on English Heritage Buildings at Risk Register		To be set by Delivery Panel for March 2010	N/A	34	N/A	N/A	Amber	No new data available until July 2010. Will report as part of the next performance Cycle.