



Report to the Partnership for Urban South Hampshire Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date: **8 June 2010**

Report of: **Anne Marie Mountifield, PUSH Executive Director**

Subject: **Emerging PUSH Business Plan Review / Theme Action Plans**

SUMMARY

PUSH is required to produce a Business Plan each year to set out its proposed actions and priorities, which in turn must be individually approved by the eleven Local Authority partners, to provide the mandate for the Joint Committee to take the necessary decisions and actions to implement the Business Plan. In addition, it was agreed previously by the Joint Committee that the Business Plan itself be supplemented by a more detailed Action Plan for each of the PUSH delivery themes, which would be subject to the approval of the Joint Committee. These Action Plans were to be prepared in line with the provisions of the Business Plan and within the allocated Budget for each Theme, to be finalised in consultation with the Delivery Panels. The purpose of this report is to set out the draft Action Plans for the Overview and Scrutiny's consideration. They will ultimately become a supplement to the revised Business Plan 2010-12, which is currently in preparation. Members should note that both the draft Delivery Plans and the emerging Business Plan may have consequential amendments arising from the current public expenditure review and forthcoming emergency budget due to take place on 22 June 2010.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Committee:-

1. NOTES the approach to refreshing the business plan and the preparation of draft Theme Action Plans, and provides feedback and endorsement of the work to date;
2. NOTES that the draft theme Action Plans set out in the report, as a supplement to the 2010-12 PUSH Business Plan, to provide the basis for the Work Programme for 2010-11 for each Delivery Panel/Theme; and
3. NOTES that both the draft Delivery Plans and the emerging Business Plan may have consequential amendments arising from the current public expenditure review and forthcoming emergency budget due to take place on 22 June 2010.

BACKGROUND

- 1.1 PUSH is required to produce a Business Plan each year to set out its proposed actions and priorities, which in turn must be individually approved by the eleven Local Authority partners, to provide the mandate for the Joint Committee to take the necessary decisions and actions to implement the Business Plan.
- 1.2 The Governments decision to cut the 2010-11 New Growth Fund resulted in PUSH receiving some £4 million less than expected this financial year. This in turn has had a major impact on PUSH's ability to resource its original business plan to its full potential. In addition there is a current public expenditure review of spending proposals underway and there will be an emergency budget on 22 June 2010 and this may have an impact on HCA funding, the New Growth fund capital fund and the revenue we currently receive from SEEDA to support the sub-regional partnership. It is crucial therefore that the revised 2010 -12 Business Plan and its supplementary Action Plans reflect as far as possible, the current and future fiscal position. The revision of both the Business Plan and the Theme Action Plans also creates an opportunity for PUSH to review and reflect on its short to medium term priorities within the context of the current economic climate thereby mitigating the potential impact that the recession will have on resource availability. Further more, the refresh of the PUSH economic evidence base work and the finalisation of sub regional strategies (Green Infrastructure and Climate Change) will further serve to provide PUSH with a robust basis to refocus its efforts and invest in areas that mitigate the impact that the recession has had on economic growth in the sub region.
- 1.3 The Business Plan review will essentially be an exercise in focussing the document on delivery actions and priorities for the period 2010-12. The Plan will therefore be an update and supplement to the more comprehensive original Business Plan and Business Plan Review 2009-11, and will supersede the original Plans in respect of actions, commitments and priorities.

PROPOSALS

- 2.1 The Business Plan review process began in March 2010 with the Executive Director consulting with PUSH Theme Leads. The revised Business Plan 2010-12 will follow the same broad style as the existing Plan with separate chapters on the four main PUSH themes, a section on Financing the Strategy, which also covers the work of the new External Funding and Resources Theme, and a Transport Chapter summarising the priorities and overall approach of the Transport for South Hampshire Joint Committee, which leads on sub-regional transport matters in South Hampshire, working alongside PUSH. In anticipation of the severely constrained Government funding in the future to reduce public debt, the remit of the External Funding Panel is deemed even more crucial and as such is reflected in the business plan. The positive exploration between PUSH and HMT on potential measures that could potentially lead to new approaches towards a single capital pot for Government funding at PUSH level based on agreed long term outcomes is one of a few opportunities being explored by the External Funding Delivery Panel.

- 2.2 The revised Business Plan is also been developed alongside the emerging Budget and Capital Programme, which has strengthened the rigour of the prioritisation process and ensures that the revised Business Plan and the budget are very closely aligned. A further revision of the business plan will take place to draw upon recommendations made within the Economic Development Strategy and economic evidence base work on completion. This will provide the basis upon which targets and priorities are reviewed.
- 2.3 The draft Action Plans for the PUSH Themes have been prepared by the Theme Leads and discussed with the host Delivery Panel. As a result of the Governments cut to the 2010 -11 Capital Growth Fund allocation coupled with the need for PUSH to take a more precautionary approach to allocating its spend, a more streamlined work programme for the coming year has been established. The Action Plans are set out in the Annexes A to E to this report as follows:-
- Annex A Economic Development
Annex B External Funding and Resources
Annex C Quality Places
Annex D Sustainability and Community Infrastructure
Annex E Housing and Planning
- 2.4 Feedback from the committee is sought on both the approach to refreshing the business plan and the draft Theme Action Plans prior to Joint Committee approval on 7 July 2010. At the July Joint Committee, authority will be sought for the Business Plan to be finalised, including any minor amendments (e.g. to correct factual errors) editorial issues such as inaccurate cross references, or changes consequent on later decisions on the budget/capital programme. The document will then be reported back to individual PUSH Local Authority partners for sign off.

CONCLUSION

- 3.1 The revised Business Plan 2010-12 will present a focused and concise summary of the strategic priorities and actions for PUSH and partners. It is considered to be prudent to carry out a more fundamental review of the Business Plan in 2011-12, supported by an updated evidence base and with a fuller understanding of the impact of the planned Government review of local government finance. In the meantime, the revised Business Plan 2010-12 is considered to provide a robust and structured framework for developing the theme based action plans, for guiding PUSH activity, and as a foundation for the Performance Framework.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Overview and Scrutiny Committee:-

1. NOTES the approach to refreshing the business plan and the preparation of draft Theme Action Plans, and provides feedback and endorsement of the work to date.

2. NOTES that the draft theme Action Plans set out in the report, as a supplement to the 2010-12 PUSH Business Plan, to provide the basis for the Work Programme for 2010-11 for each Delivery Panel/Theme
3. NOTES that both the draft Delivery Plans and the emerging Business Plan may have consequential amendments arising from the current public expenditure review and forthcoming emergency budget due to take place on 22 June 2010.

Annex A : Theme Action Plan for Economic Development Delivery Panel May 2010

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
ED 1	Family Intervention Project	Family Intervention Projects (FIPs) work with the most challenging and vulnerable families in our communities. The project delivers a multi-agency support package, led by a key worker, which addresses the needs of the whole family. Both Portsmouth and Southampton are running successful FIPs. Following positive early evaluation funding has continued to be made available to support the programme. The FIP + that is being proposed will offer additional support beyond the first phase of intervention. Families will be identified that are ready to progress in areas such as further learning, training and employment.	<p>The project will initially be delivered in Portsmouth and Southampton, work with up to 40 families and deliver a range of outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Family members returning to work, apprenticeships or work related volunteering activity · Family members engaging with training and up skilling that may lead to qualifications and a work opportunity. · Identification of basic skill needs and support to take-up training · If work is found, reduce the benefit take-up and cost to the public purse services 	Kathy Wadsworth	<p>From May 2010 - Recruit additional FIP+ support staff in both Southampton and Portsmouth .</p> <p>July 10 MAA refresh enabling measures agreed.</p> <p>July 2010 HM Treasury agree methodology for measuring savings.</p>	£100k contribution
ED 2	Employment and Skills Project	Appointment of Employment and Skills Programme Manager to support the employment and skills agenda and the ESB.	Increase capacity in this area to secure further step change in	Anne-Marie Mountifield	<p>1. Advertise post - June 2010</p> <p>2. Recruitment process</p>	£75k

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
	Manager		adult skills level is the resident population and to secure further joint working with key agencies.		completed - July 2010. 3. Candidate in post September 2010	
ED 3	ED Core Team	Funding to support the core team in particular to meet the costs of the ED Director.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Development of the Economic Strategy 2. Development of the Action Plan 3. Delivery of Key initiatives 4. Co-ordination of Economic Development across South Hampshire 	Kishor Tailor	<p>June – Draft Evidence/ Scenario. July – Draft ED Strategy. Aug/Sept – Consultation. Sept – Approval of Strategy. Oct – Action plan</p>	£120k
ED 4	Inward Investment	To be advised once Inward Investment Board is established in June 2010	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Transitional service established 2. Inward Investment board established 3. Single gateway plan developed 4. 2 Proactive missions 5. Number of new Inward investments to South Hampshire 	Kishor Tailor	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Principle agreement to the model by the Joint Committee – March 2010 2. Governance in Place – June 2010 3. Strategy developed – Aug 2010 4. Transitional arrangement in place by June 2010 5. Agreement with SEEDA - July 2010 	£70k
ED 5	LCEA	Funding to support the implementation of Low Carbon Economic Area proposal for the development and growth of the sector	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increase in the number of businesses involved in Environmental Tech. 2. Increase in numbers of job creation. 	Kishor Tailor	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. June – ERDF bid developed 2. July/Aug – Staff recruited 3. July/Aug – Government decisions 4. Sept – Action 	£20k

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
			3. Contribution to increase GVA		plan developed	
ED 6	European Funding Match	Funds to support the development of PUSH's work within Europe	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Secure additional EU resources 2. PUSH wide Europe service established 3. Increase awareness of European issues among PUSH partners 	Kishor Tailor	<p>June - ERDF bids submitted.</p> <p>July - Network arrangement submitted.</p> <p>Sept - Conference on EU.</p>	£20K
ED 7	Sector support	To be advised pending outcome of DTZ work		Tim Levenson	tba	tba
ED 8	PUSH AHEAD	<p>PUSH AHEAD is an annual entrepreneur's innovation challenge led by South Hampshire businesses. Launched in 2007 and now in its third year PUSH AHEAD has grown and improved each year. The 2009-10 challenge teamed up with Southampton Airport to celebrate its 100th anniversary of flight in South Hampshire. As a result the project was successful in securing funding from BAA Communities Trust.</p> <p>The challenge is for two age group categories:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Years 9 – 11 and 2. Years 12 including colleges <p>It is open to all South Hampshire schools where one team per school or college, of up to 5 students, will be invited to take part.</p>		Tim Levenson	<p>March 2010: Grand Final</p> <p>September/October 2010: Launch and Masterclass for 2010-11 challenge</p> <p>March/April 2011: Grand Final event</p>	

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
		<p>PUSH AHEAD 2010-11 will be launched in September (venue and date to be confirmed in due course) and will include a Master Class where students can learn valuable information from entrepreneurs and experts which will help them in fulfilling the challenge</p> <p>The winning team will also have the opportunity to exhibit their product at the Collaborate 2 Innovate event, another PUSH project, currently planned for October 2011.</p>				
ED 9	Collaborate 2 Innovate	<p>The next Collaborate 2 Innovate event will be held in Portsmouth building on the first event held in 2009 on 6th October at Southampton's City Cruise Terminal. More importantly, lessons learned and feedback from the first event will be considered and factored in to make the next event even more successful. Collaborate 2 Innovate is an innovation and collaboration conference that enables individuals and organisations to meet and discuss the knowledge economy and collaborative ventures.</p> <p>The 4 key elements to the conference are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keynote, inspirational speakers 2. Seminars and workshops from experts in key fields 3. Exhibition to enable companies to showcase their collaboration opportunities 4. Company pitches to a panel of business experts 		Tim Levenson	<p>Jul – Aug 2010: Website set up for registrations and event information. Start sending out flyers for promotion of the event.</p> <p>Nov 2010: Event to be held (proposed for 4th November at Lakeside 100 in Portsmouth)</p> <p>Nov/Dec 2010: Feedback report</p>	

Annex B :Theme Action Plan for External Funding Delivery Panel March 2010

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
EF1	The development of a Strategic Investment Framework (SIF) covering all aspects of infrastructure needs within South Hampshire	SIF will prioritise investment vs outcomes Key themes to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment sites • Housing including estates renewal • Transport 	To provide more certainty of delivery for major infrastructure and development to ensure that investment funding is deployed to maximum effect and to secure flexibilities in the use of funding, piloting new approaches as appropriate.	Andrew Smith – CEO Lead for External funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of investment framework and matrix for key areas of ; Employment sites Housing Transport Summer 2010¹ • Commission work on SIF Autumn 2010 	Budget provision for external commission from £75k revenue allocation
EF2	Engage in HMT discussions to explore piloting single appraisal approach to capital funding, and other funding flexibilities including innovative funding mechanisms for the delivery of critical	HMT –Led project to jointly develop an agreed single assessment format based on existing best practice.	Pilot a single appraisal process for spending proposals across different Whitehall departments facilitating a move towards a single pot allocation process.	TN/AMM/JC	<p>Initial HMT meeting Spring 2010</p> <p>Test and evaluate model</p> <p>By end of 2010</p>	As specified by HMT

¹ Note frameworks to be advised by TfSH (Transport), DTZ employment sites work (employment sites) and HCA LIP (Housing)

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
	infrastructure in the sub-region					
EF 3	Immediate Investment Opportunities		Develop a range of ready to go projects /investment opportunities simply pending assembly of appropriate project funding arrangements	TN/JC/Consultancy support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1518 363 1774 619">• Identify list of “ready to go” sites where infrastructure investment is required Summer 2010 <li data-bbox="1518 657 1803 874">• Review projects and develop individualised funding strategies Autumn 2010 <li data-bbox="1518 912 1774 1168">• Approve Final list of Immediate Investment Opportunities EF Panel Autumn 2010 	Budget provision for external commission from £75k revenue allocation

**Annex C : Quality Places Delivery Panel
Draft 2010-11**

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
QPDP 1	Delivery of Quality Places work programme		The development of an effective partnership to support the delivery of the Quality Places Delivery Panel Business Plan	Simon Eden / Charles Freeman	To secure partner support and engagement in the delivery of the plan	Ongoing	Charles Freeman
QPDP 2	Developing Excellence		The development of a consensus across South Hampshire regarding the way quality of place can be used to reinforce belief that Hampshire is a great place to live work and invest	Simon Eden / Charles Freeman	To achieve upward trend in NI 5 indicator which uses the place survey to measure resident satisfaction with the place they live.	To be monitored as part of the PUSH performance framework	Charles Freeman

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
QPDP 3	Promoting access for all and increasing levels of participation.		To ensure all PUSH residents have access to a diverse range of high quality cultural and sporting provision, and to secure an increase in cultural and sporting engagement across all community groups.	Nick Murphy / Charles Freeman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) To increase adult participation in sport by 1% year on year. (ii) To increase use of public Libraries by 1% year on year (iii) To increase visits to museums by 1% year on year. (iv) To increase adult participation in the Arts by 1% year on year 	To be monitored as part of PUSH performance framework	

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
QPDP 4	Advocacy within PUSH		To ensure Quality of Places policy is embedded within core PUSH and partner Local Authority Economic Development, Community Planning and Spatial Planning policy	Simon Eden/ Charles Freeman	To ensure Quality places work is embedded in (i) PUSH Business Plan (ii) PUSH Performance Framework then (iii) PUSH MAA Refresh March 2009, includes a cultural chapter (iv) Single Conversation agreement with HCA to be signed 2010 is cognizant of the Quality Places agenda (v) PUSH economic Development Strategy review,	July 2009 Nov 2009 + 6 mthly update March 2009 Summer 2010	Charles Freeman
QPDP 5	Supporting the Cultural and		To put in place structures which	Charles Freeman	(i) To grow the number of creative		Charles Freeman

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
	Creative Economy		support the development of a cultural and creative ecology which will help make PUSH an attractive place to live, work and invest.		<p>industries in the PUSH area by 1% above regional growth. The measure of creative industries will be the Business Inquiry Register.) This indicator will be tracked as one of the indicators within the PUSH performance framework</p> <p>(ii) To grow employment in the creative sector in the PUSH area by 1% more than the regional average. This indicator will be tracked as one of the indicators within the PUSH performance framework</p>		

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
QPDP 6	Supporting the Visitor Economy		To further develop the vibrant visitor economy in South Hampshire	Peter Colling TSE	(i) To increase the number of visitors to PUSH by 1% above SE regional average year on year. (ii) To Increase Visitor spend in PUSH by 1% above SE regional average. This indicator will be tracked as part of the push performance framework		Charles Freeman
QPDP 7	Creating quality places through Design of the Built Environment		To secure commitment to consistent and high quality design in the built environment across PUSH	Paul Ramshaw	The following indicators will be tracked as part of The PUSH performance framework (i) To increase percentage of new housing schemes achieving a building for life score of 14/20		Paul Ramshaw

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead Officer / Organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales		Resources
					Milestone or Target	Date	
					(base line to be set in 2010 (ii) Open Space quality target to be agreed with social infrastructure and environment sub group (iii) To reduce no of buildings on EH buildings at risk register		

Annex D : Theme Action Plan for Sustainability and Community Infrastructure Delivery Panel May 2010

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
SCID 1	Access to Nature Project – Hampshire Wildlife Trust	Environmental and conservation enhancement works within South Hampshire to be managed by HWT. Targeted at using young people (NEETS) to gain employability skills in environmental project work. PUSH	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5,000 young people will participate and gain experience of the natural environment • 2,000 young people will gain active experience of conservation and volunteering activity in relation to conservation projects • 400 young people will participate in creative media projects • 50 young people will gain an accredited qualification • Local conservation and natural environment projects in South Hampshire will be enhanced 	TBA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Business Case for carry forward of the PUSH budget from 2009/10 to be considered in June 2010 • Decision by main sponsor – Natural England, on the award of funding to be taken August 2010 • More detailed project milestones to be developed once funding secured 	£60K
SCID 2	SFRA Web Site	To provide a stable hosting arrangement for the data and mapping information regarding flood risk across South	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SFRA data will be moved to its new permanent base 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The contract with the consultant has been extended to October 2010 • The new hosting arrangements with HCC 	

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
		Hampshire			need to be agreed and actioned by October 2010	
SCID 3	Green Infrastructure Strategy - Implementation	The PUSH Green Infrastructure Strategy is anticipated to be approved in June 2010. The strategy now needs to be implemented and this requires a dedicated resource. This project is to provide that dedicated resource by appointing a Green Infrastructure Implementation Coordinator who will then work with partners to take forward agreed projects and initiatives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced and new green infrastructure of a high quality will be provided in accordance with the Green Infrastructure Strategy Local communities will benefit from improved access to high quality Green Infrastructure The strategic objectives and outcomes defined in the Green Infrastructure Strategy will be achieved 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Green Infrastructure Implementation Coordinator will be appointed and in post by July 2010 An implementation plan will be agreed by March 2011 	
SCID 4	Integrated Water Management Strategy	Implementation of the Integrated Water Management Strategy(IWTS). In approving Strategy a number of actions were agreed. The project for 2010/11 is to progress those actions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An established forum which brings together key partners A number of best practice events for key staff A co-ordinated campaign of awareness raising An analysis of the impact of flooding on key infrastructure to 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water forum in place July 2010 Events held in third and fourth quarter 2010/11 Draft program for raising awareness agreed Sept 2010 Review of key infrastructure issues Dec 2010 	

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
			inform future planning decisions			

Draft

Annex E: Draft Action Plan for Housing and Planning Delivery Panel May 2010

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
HP1	The Single Conversation	On-going dialogue with Homes and Communities Agency covering growth, housing, infrastructure, regeneration leading to the development of a Local Investment Plan.	Local Investment Plan agreeing broad levels of investment (including land assets) by all partners, encompassing a wide range of funding including Building Schools for the Future, highway funding etc.	David Williams – CEO Lead for Housing and Planning	Local Investment Plan completed for March 2010 PUSH Joint Committee	This is a major piece of work which will require significant support from each partner as well as administrative/policy support from PUSH. Quantum being evaluated.
HP2	Homes for Growth	Implementation of Sub-regional Housing Strategy 2007-11 setting out priorities for addressing housing need across the 10 participating housing authorities	<p>Support economic growth by increasing the supply of housing to deliver a balanced housing market including family and affordable homes;</p> <p>Improve the condition and management and make better use of the existing housing stock;</p> <p>Drive long-term economic prosperity through the principles of sustainable</p>	Barbara Compton – Lead Officer for Housing	The Strategy is being implemented via a comprehensive work plan which covers such things as responding to the economic downturn, planning for SDAs, implementing the two PUSH projects to improve homes in the private sector (via the Regional Housing Board resources for private sector renewal), joint working on choice based lettings and tackling under-	As detailed in the PUSH Business Plan

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
			<p>development;</p> <p>Meet the needs of everyone including homeless and vulnerable groups.</p>		<p>occupation in the social sector.</p> <p>The Housing theme group has two sub groups who are focused on:</p> <p>Cross authority working on estate renewal</p> <p>Tackling practical issues regarding providing more affordable housing development with an ambitious target to deliver at least 1,425 affordable homes a year – Actions to be completed by end of 2011</p>	
HO	Housing Market Assessment 2010	Annual survey of the Housing Market to assess impact of economic downturn	Quantify housing need and cost of accommodation in South Hampshire	Barbara Compton – Housing Lead	End of December 2010	20k
HP3	Open storage and vehicle parking	Study of future requirements for open storage.	Quantify likely future demand and identify locational criteria for sites (but not the locations themselves).	Stuart Roberts – Planning lead officer	The Delivery Panel is deferring this study until 2010/11, partly because the economic recession makes the task less pressing, but mainly because it would be sensible to await the conclusions	None in 2009/10.

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
					of the overall refresh of the PUSH economic evidence base which is now underway.	
HP4	Habitat Regulations Assessments	An assessment to of the likely impact of planned new urban development on sites of European importance for nature conservation. (The preparation of a Memorandum of Understanding with English Nature – which it is envisaged will be proposed in the current refresh of the Multi-Area Agreement – would also help in this regard.)	Measures to be taken to avoid or mitigate the impact. These 'Habitat Regulations Assessments' (HRA) must be prepared in tandem with the regional strategy (South East Plan) and each Local Development Framework; the latter obviously being more detailed as it assesses the impact of specific development sites.	Stuart Roberts – Planning lead officer	Completion summer 2010.	PUSH contribution of £17k in 2009/10.
HP5	Ensure that Local Development Documents (LDD) include appropriate policies, proposals, and land allocations.	i) Preparation of a Protocol for the preparation and submission of PUSH comments on draft LDDs. ii) Formulation and submission of comments on draft LDDs in line with the Protocol.	GVA growth; Skills levels in workforce; Increase economic activity	Stuart Roberts – Planning lead officer	i) Protocol signed-off by the Delivery Panel on 27 August 2009. ii) Ongoing task – timing of PUSH representations depends on consultation dates for draft LDDs.	Internal officer resources only required.

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
HP7	Estates Renewal	PUSH is supporting three major estates renewal schemes: Hinkler Parade, Southampton Rowner, Gosport Somerstown, Portsmouth	Renewal schemes targeting physical dwelling condition and socio-economic issues in deprived areas. Estimated new dwellings: Hinkler Parade Rowner Somerstown			To be advised when position on Growth point capital allocation is confirmed – expected end June 2010
HP	Estate Regeneration in Southampton including Hinkler Parade	Programme of renewal and economic regeneration across Southampton's housing estates including pilot project at Hinkler Parade	Initial phase of 1000 new homes with work on delivery of first 500 underway. Rolling programme using a joint venture or local housing company approach to deliver 3000 new homes over the longer term. Programme will also provide five new local shopping parades/ neighbourhood centres. Comprehensive regeneration providing improved social and economic outcomes.	Bruce Voss Southampton City Council	Hinkler Parade pilot started on site in March 2010, with completion of new homes March 2011. Work has started on the next 4 sites in Southampton – tenders due back on 29/06/10.	£2.77m approved in PUSH capital programme for 2008-9 – 2010-11. Note capital allocation for 2010-11 subject to public expenditure review – outcome expected end of June 2010
HP	Rowner	Estate Regeneration	Up to 700 new dwellings	Ian Lycett Gosport Borough	Outline planning permission for the	

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
			<p>New supermarket and local jobs Job creation in the new supermarket and developing construction skills Improved community access to local schools and community assets provided through SRB money Establishment of a community management model Green infrastructure – a grant from the Low Carbon Infrastructure Fund has been secured to provide a CHP system for part of the first phase of the development</p>	Council	<p>entire scheme K.17671 issued 24th July 2009 Reserved matters submission for phases 1 and 8 (239 dwellings) submitted July 2009 to be considered October 2009 Site set up by December 2009 Start on site January 2010 Completion of Phases 1 & 8 2012 Reserved matters submission for Phase 2 (supermarket, shops and surrounding flats) to be submitted 2010 Work now on site</p>	
HP	Somerstown	Estate regeneration	<p>500 new dwellings Social and economic regeneration as well as physical renewal.</p>	Launce Morgan, Portsmouth CC	<p>Confirmation received from HCA that scheme invited to proceed to next stage in bidding process (Outline Business Case). The level of credits allocated to the project will be formalised when</p>	<p>Note review will be needed to take account of HCA funding reductions announced as part of public expenditure review for 2010-11</p>

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
					the OBC is endorsed by the HCA Project Review Group.	
HP8	Drivers Wharf	Access feasibility study	Significant mixed use regeneration on prominent waterfront site			
HP9	Gosport waterfront	Master planning				
New	Track and assist with timely progress of LDF preparation	Establish the critical deadlines for LDD production in order that they enable timely delivery of development sites to enable the PUSH strategy to be realised on time. The Panel will then track progress against those deadlines and consider how PUSH could assist with any LDD which is falling behind.	Employment and housing sites are available for development when needed, such that GVA growth and increase economic activity can be realised.	Stuart Roberts – Planning lead officer	To be considered once DTZ work complete and in light of abolition of Regional Spatial strategy and devolution of responsibility for housing and planning to local authorities.	
New	Hotel demand study	Notwithstanding the economic downturn, there is still significant hotel developer interest for 4 star, 3 star and budget accommodation and serviced apartments across South Hampshire. This study will predict short and long term	New jobs and economic growth – both directly and by supporting local businesses and tourism.	Stuart Roberts – Planning lead officer	Due to complete summer 2010.	Will be funded partly by PUSH and Tourism South East. PUSH contribution of approx £18k is proposed to be split between the Housing and Planning, Economic

Ref. No	Project Theme	Project Description	PUSH Outcome	Lead officer / organisation	Key Milestones & Timescales	Resources
		demand, assess potential locations, and survey hotel developers interest. This study will inform PUSH marketing and other strategies, as well as the preparation of Local Development Documents including site allocations. This will build on and update individual studies undertaken some years ago for a few PUSH authorities.				Development, and Quality of Places budgets.

Draft